



BUNDESRECHTSANWALTSKAMMER

Position Paper No. 03/2022 January 2022

European Court of Human Rights

Rules of Court - Rule 44

Members of the Human Rights Committee

Rechtsanwalt Prof. Dr. Christian Kirchberg, Karlsruhe, Vorsitzender und Berichterstatter
Rechtsanwalt Dr. Sebastian Cording, Hamburg
Rechtsanwalt Dr. h.c. Rüdiger Deckers, Düsseldorf
Rechtsanwalt Bernhard Docke, Bremen
Rechtsanwalt Detlev Heyder, Freiburg
Rechtsanwältin Ingrid Hönlinger, Ludwigsburg
Rechtsanwältin Dr. Regina Michalke, Frankfurt/Main
Rechtsanwalt Jerzy Montag, München
Rechtsanwältin Dr. Margarete Mühl-Jäckel, LL.M., Potsdam

Rechtsanwältin Ulrike Paul, Vizepräsidentin, Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer
Rechtsanwältin Kristina Trierweiler, LL.M., Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer

Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer

The German Federal Bar
Barreau Fédéral Allemand
www.brak.de

Büro Berlin – Hans Litten Haus

Littenstraße 9 Tel. +49.30.28 49 39 -0
10179 Berlin Fax +49.30.28 49 39 -11
Deutschland Mail zentrale@brak.de

Büro Brüssel

Avenue des Nerviens 85/9 Tel. +32.2.743 86 46
1040 Brüssel Fax +32.2.743 86 56
Belgien Mail brak.bxl@brak.eu

The German Federal Bar (Bundesrechtsanwaltskammer, BRAK) is the umbrella organisation of the self-regulatory bodies of the German Rechtsanwälte. It represents the interests of the 28 German Bars and thus of the entire legal profession in the Federal Republic of Germany, which currently consists of approximately 166,000 lawyers, vis-à-vis authorities, courts and organisations at national, European and international level.

Opinion

The German Federal Bar expresses its gratitude for the opportunity to comment the Draft amendments to Rule 44 of the Rules of Court of the European Court of Human Rights.

The amendment of the Rules of Court to the effect that in future the running of the time limits under Rule 44(1-3) of the Rules of Court (Third-party intervention on appeals under Rules 33, 34 ECHR) in cases to be examined by the Grand Chamber should not (already) begin with the notification to the parties of the Chamber's decision to relinquish the case to the Grand Chamber, but (only) with the publication of this decision on the Court's website, is considered sensible in the interests of transparency and clarity.
